EXHIBIT A

Transcript of Teleconference held on 5/2/2024, Teva Pharms., USA Inc. v. Amarin Pharma Inc., No. 24-4341 (RK)(TJB), ECF No. 21

EXHIBIT A

1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY
2	FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW DERSET
3	
4	TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS USA, CIVIL ACTION NUMBER: INC.,
5	24-cr-04341-RK Plaintiff,
6	v. TELECONFERENCE
7	AMARIN PHARMA, INC., et al.,
8	Defendants.
9	
10	Clarkson S. Fisher Building & U.S. Courthouse 402 East State Street
11	Trenton, New Jersey 08608 May 02, 2024
12	Commencing at 10:06 a.m.
13	B E F O R E: THE HONORABLE ROBERT KIRSCH,
14	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
15	A P P F A R A N C F S.
15 16	<u>APPEARANCES</u> :
16	WALSH PIZZI O'REILLY FALANGA LLP
16 17	WALSH PIZZI O'REILLY FALANGA LLP BY: LIZA M. WALSH, ESQUIRE JESSICA K. FORMICHELLA, ESQUIRE
16 17 18	WALSH PIZZI O'REILLY FALANGA LLP BY: LIZA M. WALSH, ESQUIRE JESSICA K. FORMICHELLA, ESQUIRE THREE GATEWAY CENTER 100 MULBERRY STREET, 15TH FLOOR
16 17 18 19	WALSH PIZZI O'REILLY FALANGA LLP BY: LIZA M. WALSH, ESQUIRE JESSICA K. FORMICHELLA, ESQUIRE THREE GATEWAY CENTER
16 17 18 19 20	WALSH PIZZI O'REILLY FALANGA LLP BY: LIZA M. WALSH, ESQUIRE JESSICA K. FORMICHELLA, ESQUIRE THREE GATEWAY CENTER 100 MULBERRY STREET, 15TH FLOOR NEWARK, NJ 07102
16 17 18 19 20 21	WALSH PIZZI O'REILLY FALANGA LLP BY: LIZA M. WALSH, ESQUIRE JESSICA K. FORMICHELLA, ESQUIRE THREE GATEWAY CENTER 100 MULBERRY STREET, 15TH FLOOR NEWARK, NJ 07102
16 17 18 19 20	WALSH PIZZI O'REILLY FALANGA LLP BY: LIZA M. WALSH, ESQUIRE JESSICA K. FORMICHELLA, ESQUIRE THREE GATEWAY CENTER 100 MULBERRY STREET, 15TH FLOOR NEWARK, NJ 07102 For the Plaintiff
16 17 18 19 20 21	WALSH PIZZI O'REILLY FALANGA LLP BY: LIZA M. WALSH, ESQUIRE JESSICA K. FORMICHELLA, ESQUIRE THREE GATEWAY CENTER 100 MULBERRY STREET, 15TH FLOOR NEWARK, NJ 07102 For the Plaintiff Carol Farrell, Official Court Reporter cfarrell.crr@gmail.com
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	WALSH PIZZI O'REILLY FALANGA LLP BY: LIZA M. WALSH, ESQUIRE JESSICA K. FORMICHELLA, ESQUIRE THREE GATEWAY CENTER 100 MULBERRY STREET, 15TH FLOOR NEWARK, NJ 07102 For the Plaintiff Carol Farrell, Official Court Reporter cfarrell.crr@gmail.com 856-318-6100
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	WALSH PIZZI O'REILLY FALANGA LLP BY: LIZA M. WALSH, ESQUIRE JESSICA K. FORMICHELLA, ESQUIRE THREE GATEWAY CENTER 100 MULBERRY STREET, 15TH FLOOR NEWARK, NJ 07102 For the Plaintiff Carol Farrell, Official Court Reporter cfarrell.crr@gmail.com

1	APPEARANCES (Continued):
2	KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP
3	BY: JAMES R.P. HILEMAN, ESQUIRE DEVORA W. ALLON, ESQUIRE
4	333 WEST WOLF POINT PLAZA CHICAGO, IL 60654
5	For the Plaintiff
6 7	WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI
8	BY: CHUL PAK, ESQUIRE 1301 6th AVE #40
9	NEW YORK, NY 10019 For the Plaintiff, Dr. Reddy's
10	SAUL EWING LLP
11	BY: WILLIAM C. BATON, ESQUIRE ONE RIVERFRONT PLAZA
12	SUITE 1520 NEWARK, NJ 07102-5426
13	For the Defendants
14	COVINGTON & BURLING LLP
15	BY: ASHLEY E. BASS, ESQUIRE ONE CITYCENTER
16	850 TENTH STREET, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4956
17	For the Defendants
18	WINSTON & STRAWN LLP
19	BY: MICHAEL TOOMEY 101 CALIFORNIA STREET, 35TH FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-5840
20	For Hikma Pharmaceuticals
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

```
1
        (PROCEEDINGS held via teleconference before The Honorable
 2
    Robert Kirsch, United States District Judge, at 10:00 a.m.)
 3
             THE COURT: Good morning. We are on the record in
 4
    Teva versus Amarin, 24-4341. Appearances of counsel, please.
 5
             MS. FORMICHELLA: Good morning, Your Honor -- I'm
 6
    sorry. Good morning. This is --
 7
             THE COURT: I'm sorry, I didn't hear you.
 8
             MS. FORMICHELLA: Hi. Can you hear me?
 9
             THE COURT: One more time. One more time, please.
10
             MS. FORMICHELLA: Hi, this is Jessica Formichella and
11
    Liza Walsh from Walsh Pizzi O'Reilly Falanga on behalf of Teva.
12
    Can you hear me now?
1.3
             THE COURT: I certainly can. Thank you. And good
14
    morning.
15
             MS. FORMICHELLA: Thank you. Good morning.
16
             With us is our cocounsel from Kirkland & Ellis, James
17
    Hileman and Devora Allon, and their pro hac vice applications
18
    have been filed with the Court.
19
             SPEAKER: Good morning, Your Honor.
20
             THE COURT: Very well. Good morning.
21
             SPEAKER: Good morning, Your Honor.
22
             THE COURT: For defendant, Amarin, please.
23
             MR. BATON: Yes, good morning, Your Honor.
                                                        This is
24
    Bill Baton of the Saul Ewing firm, New Jersey counsel for
25
    defendant.
```

1 With me today is Ashley Bass from Covington & Burling, 2 also for Amarin. 3 THE COURT: Good morning. I appreciate everybody 4 making themselves available. I received a letter, Mr. Baton, that was odd to me. 5 6 The tenor of it was odd. 7 The defendant filed a motion to dismiss, obviously, 8 inadvertent because the Court has preferences and this did not 9 abide by them, and instead of, in my judgment, a different 10 letter. I got one where you sort of unilaterally determined 11 you didn't believe it would be helpful and, therefore, the 12 Court should go ahead and brief it. 1.3 And I appreciate very much your view, but going 14 forward, the reason I have incorporated these preferences is 15 out of necessity. A number of my colleagues have done so as 16 well, so you are aware of that. And the reason is that rotely, 17 as a matter of course, in every civil dispute, we get inundated 18 with 12(b) motions. Almost never do they resolve in disposing 19 in toto of a case, and all it does is gum up an already 20 remarkably congested court system here. 21 We are, if not the most busy civil docket in the 22 country from a federal standpoint, we are second. And so a 23 number of us, many of us, have incorporated these preferences 24 in an attempt to bring some sensibility. 25 So while I appreciate your view, going forward, I

```
1
    would appreciate abiding by the Court's preferences.
 2
    Understood?
 3
             MR. BATON: Yes, Your Honor, absolutely, and sorry for
 4
    the misstep, and you have my word that going forward, no such
 5
    thing will occur.
 6
             THE COURT: Thanks.
 7
             Of course what's before me, instead of letters from
 8
    both sides, is a very short summary from the viewpoint of the
 9
    defendant.
10
             It will shock me if, at this preliminary phase, I find
11
    that a settlement that I think was out of Nevada is going to
12
    bar a putative Sherman Act case.
1.3
             It seems from my very cursory review of the complaint
14
    that the allegations are that Amarin hoarded a critical
15
    component of this pharmaceutical product, which, at first
16
    blush, did not seem to be encompassed by the separate and
17
    distinct settlement out of district.
18
             And so I would ask you, Mr. Baton, to think long and
19
    hard if you want to congest this court on something that is --
20
    I'm not ruling because there is nothing before me -- but think
21
    long and hard whether you think in the end you are likely to
22
    prevail and I throw out a case at this incipient stage.
23
             The next thing I want to -- so I will get back to that
24
    in a moment.
25
             The next thing I want to bring to everybody's
```

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
attention -- obviously, Mr. Baton, you are very familiar with
this -- and that is that this is the fifth, I believe, Sherman
Act case I have involving Amarin. Many of these cases are
quite old, '21 dockets, and I can recite them. They are all on
the public docket, so obviously I am not disclosing anything
that Ms. Walsh and her colleagues are not probably readily
aware of already.
         But I'm wondering -- because the list keeps growing.
This is case number five. Obviously, every action here is
different in some regards. You've got different plaintiffs,
different factual allegations. But I'm wondering if there is
not a more sensible outcome. The likelihood that we are going
to try five of these cases, very slim. Certainly within the
next ten years, very slim, if ever. And I wonder if there is
not a smarter, more expedited way of consolidating all of them
for purposes of mediation with a very experienced former judge
to bring some conclusion here to some or all of them.
         Mr. Baton?
         MS. BASS: Your Honor, this is Ms. Bass, if you don't
mind if I take that one?
         THE COURT: Sure. And good morning to you.
         MS. BASS: Thank you. Good morning.
         So, Your Honor, as you are aware, we did conduct a
mediation with the previously filed cases before Judge Linares.
He has been appointed a mediator in this case.
```

THE COURT: Yes.

1

MS. BASS: We did have that effort in the fall and we 2 3 mediated across the four cases. We were not able to reach 4 resolution at that time. 5 And our view with respect to the Teva case, because we 6 thought you may mention this today, is that we think the Teva 7 case is differently situated in this instant, you know, it has 8 not survived a motion to dismiss as those cases have, and we --9 you know, I totally hear what you said at the beginning of the 10 call with respect to your preliminary views with respect to the 11 motions, that we not surprisingly have a different view, and, 12 you know, our view is that their case should not have been 1.3 filed; this should not go forward past the Rule 12 stage. 14 So I totally hear you in terms of trying to find an 15 efficient way to resolve the various matters that have been 16 filed, but it at this point in time, I think, you know, we have 17 tried mediation in the other cases. They weren't successful. 18 And our view would be that it is premature for us to have any 19 sort of a mediation with the Teva case at this point. 20 I'm happy to answer any questions about that. 21 THE COURT: Yes. So does plaintiff want to be heard 22 on this briefly? 23 Your Honor, this is Devora Allon for Teva. MS. ALLON: 24 I would say just very briefly that we have no 25 objection to mediating, you know, whenever the Court thinks

that makes sense.

1.3

THE COURT: Well, it only makes sense when both parties are in favor of it, and so I'm generally not of the view to mandate it. It's never successful when a Court strong-arms a reluctant party, and I'm looking at now it's five Amarin cases. I have got other Amarins as well.

And at some point, commonsensically, I believe your position is going to have to, out of necessity, change, and it's unfortunate because I have three '21 dockets, here we are in 2024, all of which Amarin are defendants. I've got a '23 docket, and now I've got a '24. And there is nobody better at bringing parties together that want to be brought together than José Linares, so it is regrettable, in my mind.

And I can hazard a guess that a couple years down the road, and a fortune which will be expended in defense of litigation after litigation, that Amarin's position will likely change. That's my view.

Now, with regard to this case, I'm likely to order discovery to commence immediately. I'm going to speak to the Magistrate Judge. I don't have the authority, unfortunately, to bar a motion to dismiss. I'll be surprised, I don't know because I'm not clairvoyant, but when I really dig into the settlement agreement and I take a look at the allegations in the complaint, perhaps the defendant will be successful, but I'm not going to stop this litigation for what will likely be a

```
1
    voluminous motion to dismiss, voluminous opposition, and
 2
    digging into documents which are intrinsic to the complaint for
 3
    six, eight, nine months, which is what it will be.
 4
             So I'm going to communicate with the Magistrate Judge
 5
    who I believe is Judge Bongiovanni; am I right about that?
 6
             MS. BASS: Yes, Your Honor.
 7
             THE COURT: And we're going to get going -- after I
 8
    speak with her and get her input, I'm likely to order that
 9
    discovery starts immediately. Understood?
10
             MS. BASS: Yes, Your Honor.
11
             THE COURT: So, in terms of filing a motion to
12
    dismiss, Amarin, this is how we're going to do it. You will
1.3
    serve your colleagues across the aisle. When the matter is
14
    fully briefed after a reply brief, you will then file it, so we
15
    will bundle this. That's in the event that you persist in your
16
    desire to file a 12(b) motion.
17
             If you change your position, please indicate that to
18
    me and, of course, your colleagues. But I will speak with
19
    Judge Bongiovanni immediately. Okay?
20
             MS. BASS: Yes, Your Honor.
21
             MR. PAK: Your Honor?
22
             THE COURT: Yes.
23
             MR. PAK: Yes, Your Honor, I've just been kind of
24
    sitting on the side as one of the other plaintiff's counsel,
25
    and I think there are two others.
```

```
1
             So, just to be clear about the issue, we were I
 2
    think -- we joined this call because we are the other three
 3
    cases, and our view is that the discovery should proceed, in
 4
    coordination, and we do have a scheduling order, et cetera.
 5
             And we were on this call because Amarin had taken the
 6
    position that discovery should not move forward on certain
 7
    depositions because the motion to dismiss against Teva was
    pending. And so your statements today then give us clarity
 9
    about how we should move forward, so thank you.
10
             THE COURT: I'm sorry. I didn't understand one thing
11
    that you just said, and it's not your fault. This is the
12
    problem with doing stuff telephonically, which I try to do as a
1.3
    courtesy to the lawyers, and I always end up regretting it
14
    because, A, I don't know who is speaking --
15
             MR. PAK: I'm sorry, Your Honor.
16
             THE COURT: And secondly, this is literally through no
17
    fault of yourself. It's just challenging.
18
             MR. PAK: Okay. May I start again then, Your Honor?
19
             THE COURT: Yes.
20
             MR. PAK: I'm Chul Pak. I'm one of the counsel for
21
    plaintiff, Dr. Reddy's.
22
             THE COURT: In 21-10309?
23
             MR. PAK: I believe that's the case number, Your
24
    Honor.
25
             You mentioned three other cases, and that would be the
```

```
1
    Dr. Reddy's case, the Hikma case, and the class-action case.
                                                                   Ι
    think those are the three you're referring to.
 3
             THE COURT: I've got four.
 4
                       There might be two class actions.
             MR. PAK:
 5
                         I think there's a separate class action,
             THE COURT:
 6
    but you go on. Go ahead, if you don't mind.
 7
             MR. PAK: Yes, certainly.
 8
             So we, in fact, have been trying to coordinate all
 9
    those cases and have been proceeding that way.
10
             We now have a scheduling order for how to move
11
    discovery, and we had noticed depositions of certain Amarin
12
    employees, and Amarin's counsel's position was that because of
1.3
    the pending nature of the Teva action, as well as the desire to
14
    coordinate, we shouldn't move forward on our depositions.
15
    that's why we joined this call.
16
             But if I understood Your Honor's statements today that
17
    discovery should move forward, the objection to the depositions
18
    sounds moot to me, Your Honor.
19
             THE COURT: Well, so my colleague, Judge Bongiovanni,
20
    will manage the pretrial process here. I will certainly
21
    articulate to her when I speak to her -- and she knew I was
22
    convening this call. I told her last evening. But I will be
23
    calling her immediately, but I'm going to defer to her on how
24
    she wishes to manage the litigation.
25
             I'm just going to articulate that there is no chance
```

```
1
    that I'm going to hamstring the movement of four separate and
 2
    distinct cases involving the same defendant and the same
 3
    product while one case is mired in a 12(b) motion. That will
 4
    be my vote.
 5
             And if she has a different view, she will express that
 6
    to me, and of course I will defer to her because she is in
 7
    charge of management, but I'm going to let my opinion known.
 8
             Hello?
 9
                       Thank you, Your Honor. Chul Pak again.
             MR. PAK:
10
    sorry.
11
             THE COURT: That's okay. Did I miss anything from the
12
    plaintiff's vantage?
1.3
             SPEAKER:
                      No, Your Honor. Thank you.
14
                      No, Your Honor.
             SPEAKER:
15
             THE COURT:
                         Is Ms. Walsh on the call, by the way?
16
                         I am, Your Honor, and thank you very much,
             MS. WALSH:
17
    and we don't have any other issues, but thank you.
18
             THE COURT: Okay. And good morning to you.
19
             And anything more from the defendant?
20
             MS. BASS: No, Your Honor. Thank you.
21
             THE COURT: You're very welcome.
22
             Did I miss anything?
23
    (No response.)
24
             THE COURT: Okay.
25
             MS. BASS: No, Your Honor.
```

```
1
             THE COURT: Silence is welcomed. How is that?
 2
             Okay, folks, thank you very much for your coordinating
 3
    your very busy schedules, and I look forward to moving these
 4
    cases along. Okay?
 5
             MS. BASS: Thank you very much. Thank you again.
 6
             SPEAKER: Thank you, Your Honor.
 7
             THE COURT: Have a very nice weekend, everybody.
 8
    (The proceedings concluded at 10:22 a.m.)
 9
10
11
12
             I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript
13
    from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter.
14
15
    /S/ Carol Farrell, NJ-CRCR, FCRR, RDR, CRR, RMR, CRC, CRI
    Court Reporter/Transcriber
16
17
    May 02, 2024
         Date
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```